# Assessing the association between hormonal contraception and breast cancer risk for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers using prospective data

### **BACKGROUND**

## Hormonal Contraception and Breast Cancer Risk in the General Population

Hormonal contraceptives increase breast cancer (BC) risk in the general population. The largest study of the oral contraceptive pill (OCP) and BC risk was from the Oxford Collaborative group and pooled data from 54 epidemiological studies conducted in 25 countries. It showed that there is a small increased relative risk of BC while women are taking the OCP and for about 10 years after stopping. The estimated relative risk for current users was 1.24 (95% CI 1.15-1.33), which declined to 1.16 (95% CI 1.08-1.23) 1-4 years after cessation, 1.07 (95% CI 1.02-1.13) 5-9 years after cessation and 1.01 (95% CI 0.96-1.05) 10 or more years after cessation.

After recency of use was accounted for, duration of use, age at first use and dose and formulation of the OCP, parity, whether OCP use began before or after first childbirth, and breast cancer family history made little difference to the estimates.

The Nurses' Health Study reported on BC risk associated with OCP use for 116,608 women enrolled in the study in 1989 when aged 25-42. There were 1,344 invasive BCs during 1,246,967 years of follow-up. Current user of the OCP had an increased relative risk of BC of 1.33 (95% CI: 1.03-1.73) and risk decreased with increasing time since cessation.<sup>2</sup>

A more recent Danish Study, which used data from National registries, reported similar findings, relevant to all types of contemporary hormonal contraception, including the hormonal intrauterine device.<sup>3</sup> The estimated relative risk of BC was 1.20 (95% CI 1.14-1.26) for current users of hormonal contraception relative to never users. There was some evidence that commencing hormonal contraception at a younger age was associated with increased BC risk.

In women who are at average risk of BC, the relatively small increased risk associated with recent hormonal contraceptive use generally results in only a small increase in the incidence of BCs, because women generally take hormonal contraceptives at a young age when their absolute risk of BC is quite low. For example, the Danish Study<sup>3</sup> showed an increase in BC of 1 case per 7,690 women for each 1 year of hormonal contraception use. However, for women whose risk of early-onset BC is much higher, even this small increase in risk could translate to an important increase in the incidence of BC.

## Hormonal Contraception and Breast Cancer Risk in BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers

Women with a germline *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutation have markedly increased risks of early-onset BC. For example, at age 20-30 years, the standardised incidence ratio for BC relative to the general population is 74 and 61 for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers, respectively, and at age 31-40 years it is 46 and 20, respectively.<sup>3</sup>

Studies of the association between OCP use and BC risk for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers have had conflicting results.<sup>5-17</sup> Although most studies have found a relative risk estimate >1 for *BRCA1*<sup>5,</sup> 6, 9, 11, 12-16 and/or *BRCA2* mutation carriers<sup>8,9,12,14,16</sup> who ever used OCP, in few studies were the

findings statistically significant.<sup>6, 9, 12, 13, 16</sup> These studies are inconsistent regarding whether duration of use, age at first use, and use before first childbirth influence the risk. No data are available regarding the risk of BC associated with the use of other types of hormonal contraception, such as hormonal implants and hormonal intrauterine devices in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers. Quantifying any association between use of hormonal contraceptives and BC risk is very important for these women, because it will help optimize their personal breast cancer risk management plan.

We propose to study the association between hormonal contraceptives and BC risk for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers, using individual participant data from several cohort studies that have collected prospective data.

### **HYPOTHESES**

- 1) Current hormonal contraception is associated with an increased risk of BC for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers that decreases with time since last use.
- 2) The association between current hormonal contraceptive use and breast cancer risk for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers does not differ from that for the general population (as estimated in the Oxford study¹).
- 3) Duration of use, age at first use, and use before first birth are not associated with BC risk independently of current use and recency of use.

### **AIMS**

- 1) To estimate the effect of current use, and time since last use, of hormonal contraception on BC risk for (i) *BRCA1* mutation carriers and (ii) *BRCA2* mutation carriers.
- 2) To test whether the effect of hormonal contraceptive use on risk of BC for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers is consistent with that observed for the general population reported by the Oxford study<sup>1</sup>.
- 3) To determine if duration of use, age at first use, and use before first birth are associated with BC risk after adjustment for current use and recency of use.

## **METHODS**

# **Main Exposure**

The exposure of interest is hormonal contraception i.e. any form of contraceptive pill, hormonal implant or hormone containing intrauterine device used for periods of 1 year or more. Most studies did not collect information on hormonal contraception other than OCP use, and hence there will be some contamination of the unexposed group by women who did, in fact, use other forms of hormonal contraception. This could bias the study towards the null.

# **Primary Outcome**

<u>Diagnosis of breast cancer</u> - includes both invasive BC and ductal carcinoma in situ

## **Study Setting**

The study will utilise data from 4 prospective cohort studies:

- 1) The kConFab Clinical Follow-Up Study<sup>17</sup>
- 2) The Breast Cancer Family Registry<sup>18</sup>
- 3) Risk Factor Analysis of Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer<sup>19</sup>
- 4) Basser Center/UPenn Registry<sup>20</sup>

## **Inclusion criteria**

- Pathogenic (class 4 or 5) BRCA1 or BRCA2 germline mutation
- Follow-up information available
- Born after 1920

### **Exclusion criteria**

- Male
- Personal history of cancer at cohort entry (except non-melanoma skin or CIN cervix)
- History of bilateral mastectomy at cohort entry

## **Censoring events**

- Any cancer diagnosis (except non-melanoma skin or CIN cervix)
- Bilateral mastectomy
- Death
- Last follow-up or record linkage

## **Confounders for Adjustment**

Premenopausal bilateral oophorectomy

**Parity** 

First-degree family history of BC

Study

Birth cohort

Menopausal status

# **Analysis Plan**

Cox regression will be applied, with age as the timescale and entry at study enrolment (baseline questionnaire date) and exit with breast cancer, bilateral mastectomy, death, diagnosis of other cancer, or last follow-up, whichever happens first. A cluster option will be used in the regression to model the non-independent observations due to family membership. Separate analyses will be undertaken for carriers of mutations in (i) *BRCA1* (or both *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*) and (ii) *BRCA2*. We will calculate unadjusted estimates and estimates adjusting for confounders. Multiple imputation using predictive mean matching, incorporating in the imputation process the various types of interval censoring information, will be used to deal with missing data. Sensitivity analyses will be conducted to assess the influence of potential biases.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. Breast cancer and hormonal contraceptives: collaborative reanalysis of individual data on 53 297 women with breast cancer and 100 239 women without breast cancer from 54 epidemiological studies. Lancet. 1996 Jun 22;347(9017):1713-27. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(96)90806-5. PMID: 8656904.
- 2. Hunter DJ, Colditz GA, Hankinson SE et al. Oral contraceptive use and breast cancer: a prospective study of young women. Cancer Epi Biomarkers Prev 2010;19 (10): 2496-502
- 3. Morch LS, Skovlund CW, Hannaford PC et al. Contemporary Hormonal Contraception and the Risk of Breast Cancer. N Engl J Med 2017; 377: 2228-2239
- 4. Kuchenbaecker KB, Hopper JL, Barnes DR et al. Risks of Breast, Ovarian, and Contralateral Breast Cancer for BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers. JAMA 2017; 317: 2402-2416
- 5. Heimdal K, Skovlund E, Møller P. Oral contraceptives and risk of familial breast cancer. Cancer Detection and Prevention 2002; 26: 23-27.
- 6. Narod SA, Dubé MP, Klijn J et al. Oral contraceptives and the risk of breast cancer in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2002; 94: 1773-1779.
- 7. Gronwald J, Byrski T, Huzarski T et al. Influence of selected lifestyle factors on breast and ovarian cancer risk in BRCA1 mutation carriers from Poland. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 2006; 95: 105-109.
- 8. Haile RW, Thomas DC, McGuire V et al. BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers, oral contraceptive use, and breast cancer before age 50. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention 2006; 15: 1863-1870.
- 9. Brohet RM, Goldgar DE, Easton DF et al. Oral contraceptives and breast cancer risk in the international BRCA1/2 carrier cohort study: A report from EMBRACE, GENEPSO, GEO-HEBON, and the IBCCS collaborating group. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2007; 25: 3831-3836
- Lee E, Ma H, McKean-Cowdin R et al. Effect of reproductive factors and oral contraceptives on breast cancer risk in BRCA1/2 mutation carriers and noncarriers: Results from a population-based study. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers Prevention 2008; 17: 3170-3178
- 11. Figueiredo JC, Haile RW, Bernstein L et al. Oral contraceptives and postmenopausal hormones and risk of contralateral breast cancer among BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers and noncarriers: The WECARE Study. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 2010; 120: 175-183
- 12. Bernholtz S, Laitman Y, Kaufman B et al. Cancer risk in Jewish BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: Effects of oral contraceptive use and parental origin of mutation. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 2011; 129: 557-563.
- 13. Kotsopoulos J, Lubinski J, Moller P et al. Timing of oral contraceptive use and the risk of breast cancer in BRCA1 mutation carriers. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 2014; 143: 579-586
- 14. Lecarpentier J, Noguès C, Mouret-Fourme E et al. Breast cancer risk associated with estrogen exposure and truncating mutation location in BRCA1/2 carriers. Cancer Epidemiology

- Biomarkers and Prevention 2015; 24: 698-707.
- 15. Park B, Hopper JL, Win AK et al. Reproductive factors as risk modifiers of breast cancer in BRCA mutation carriers and high-risk non-carriers. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 102110-102118.
- 16. Schrijver LH, Olsson H, Phillips KA et al. Oral contraceptive use and breast cancer risk: Retrospective and prospective analyses from a BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carrier cohort study. JNCI Cancer Spectrum 2018; 2
- 17. Phillips KA, Butow P, Stewart A et al. Predictors of participation in clinical and psychosocial Follow-Up of the kConFab Breast Cancer Family Cohort Familial Cancer 2005; 4: 105-113.
- 18. Terry MB, Phillips KA, Daly MB et al. Cohort profile: the breast cancer prospective family study cohort (ProF-SC). International journal of epidemiology 2016; 45(3):683-92.
- 19. Kotsopoulos J, Huzarski T, Gronwald J et al. Bilateral oophorectomy and breast cancer risk in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2017; 109(1).
- 20. Domchek SM, Jhaveri K, Patil S et al. Risk of metachronous breast cancer after BRCA mutation—associated ovarian cancer. Cancer 2013; 119(7):1344-8.